

ANDRE BATTLE, on behalf of )  
himself and all others similarly )  
situated, )  
Plaintiffs, ) Case No.: 1:24-cv-12429  
)  
v. ) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  
Bumble and Bumble, LLC, ) AND  
Defendant. ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**COMPLAINT**

1. Plaintiff, ANDRE BATTLE (hereinafter “Plaintiff” or “BATTLE”), brings this action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated against Bumble and Bumble, LLC (hereinafter “Bumble and Bumble” or “Defendant”), and states as follows:
2. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person who requires screen-reading software to read website content using his computer. Plaintiff uses the terms “blind” or “visually-impaired” to refer to all people with visual impairments who meet the legal definition of blindness in that they have a visual acuity with correction of less than or equal to 20 x 200. Some blind people who meet this definition have limited vision; others have no vision.
3. Based on a 2010 U.S. Census Bureau report, approximately 8.1 million people in the United States are visually impaired, including 2.0 million who are blind, and according to the American Foundation for the Blind’s 2015 report, approximately 400,000 visually impaired persons live in the State of Illinois.
4. Plaintiff brings this civil rights action against Bumble and Bumble for their failure to design, construct, maintain, and operate their website to be fully accessible to and independently usable

by Plaintiff and other blind or visually-impaired persons. Defendant is denying blind and visually impaired persons throughout the United States with equal access to the goods and services Bumble and Bumble provides to their non-disabled customers through <https://bumbleandbumble.com> (hereinafter “Bumbleandbumble.com” or “the website”). Defendant’s denial of full and equal access to its website, and therefore denial of its products and services offered, and in conjunction with its physical locations, is a violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (the “ADA”).

5. Bumbleandbumble.com provides to the public a wide array of the goods, services, price specials and other programs offered by Bumble and Bumble. Yet, Bumbleandbumble.com contains significant access barriers that make it difficult if not impossible for blind and visually-impaired customers to use the website. In fact, the access barriers make it impossible for blind and visually-impaired users to even complete a transaction on the website. Thus, Bumble and Bumble excludes the blind and visually-impaired from the full and equal participation in the growing Internet economy that is increasingly a fundamental part of the common marketplace and daily living. In the wave of technological advances in recent years, assistive computer technology is becoming an increasingly prominent part of everyday life, allowing blind and visually-impaired persons to fully and independently access a variety of services.
6. The blind have an even greater need than the sighted to shop and conduct transactions online due to the challenges faced in mobility. The lack of an accessible website means that blind people are excluded from experiencing transacting with Defendant’s website and from purchasing goods or services from Defendant’s website.
7. Despite readily available accessible technology, such as the technology in use at other heavily trafficked retail websites, which makes use of alternative text, accessible forms, descriptive links,

resizable text and limits the usage of tables and JavaScript, Defendant has chosen to rely on an exclusively visual interface. Bumble and Bumble's sighted customers can independently browse, select, and buy online without the assistance of others. However, blind persons must rely on sighted companions to assist them in accessing and purchasing on Bumbleandbumble.com.

8. By failing to make the website accessible to blind persons, Defendant is violating basic equal access requirements under both state and federal law.
9. Congress provided a clear and national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities when it enacted the ADA. Such discrimination includes barriers to full integration, independent living and equal opportunity for persons with disabilities, including those barriers created by websites and other public accommodations that are inaccessible to blind and visually impaired persons.
10. Plaintiff browsed and intended to make an online purchase of a shampoo on Bumbleandbumble.com. Despite his efforts, however, Plaintiff was denied a shopping experience like that of a sighted individual due to the Website's lack of a variety of features and accommodations. Unless Defendant remedies the numerous access barriers on its website, Plaintiff and Class members will continue to be unable to independently navigate, browse, use, and complete a purchase on Bumbleandbumble.com.
11. Because Defendant's website, Bumbleandbumble.com, is not equally accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers, it violates the ADA. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction to cause a change in Bumble and Bumble's policies, practices, and procedures to that Defendant's website will become and remain accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers. This complaint also seeks compensatory damages to compensate Class members for having been subjected to unlawful discrimination.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12182, as Plaintiff's claims arise under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182, et seq.
13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(1) and (2) because Defendant conducts and continues to conduct a substantial and significant amount of business in this District, and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District because Plaintiff attempted to utilize, on a number of occasions, the subject Website within this Judicial District.
14. Defendant is registered to do business in the State of New York and has also been conducting business in the State of Illinois, including in this District. Defendant has been and is committing the acts or omissions alleged herein in the Northern District of Illinois that caused injury and violated rights the ADA prescribes to Plaintiff and to other blind and other visually impaired-consumers. A substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District: on several separate occasions, Plaintiff has been denied the full use and enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services offered to the general public, on Defendant's Website in Cook County. These access barriers that Plaintiff encountered have caused a denial of Plaintiff's full and equal access multiple times in the past, and now deter Plaintiff on a regular basis from accessing the Defendant's Website in the future.
15. The United States Department of Justice Civil Rights Division has recently provided "Guidance on Web Accessibility and the ADA." It states in part, "the Department has consistently taken the position that the ADA's requirements apply to all the goods, services, privileges, or activities offered by public accommodations, including those offered on the web."
16. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

17. This lawsuit is aimed at providing legally blind users like the Plaintiff a full and equal experience.

### **THE PARTIES**

18. Plaintiff, is and has been at all relevant times a resident of Cook County, State of Illinois.
19. Plaintiff is legally blind and a member of a protected class under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(l)-(2), the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 et seq. Plaintiff, ANDRE BATTLE, cannot use a computer without the assistance of screen reader software. Plaintiff has been denied the full enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services of Bumbleandbumble.com as a result of accessibility barriers on Bumbleandbumble.com.
20. Defendant, Bumble and Bumble, LLC, is a New York Limited Liability Company doing business in this State with its principal place of business located at 415 West 13th Street, Suite 1113, New York, NY 10014.
21. Bumble and Bumble provides to the public a website known as Bumbleandbumble.com which provides consumers with access to an array of goods and services, including, the ability to view a wide range of hair care products, including shampoos, conditioners, creams, oils, sprays, primers, pomades, gels, and masks. Consumers across the United States use Defendant's website to purchase hair care products. Defendant's website is a place of public accommodation within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). The inaccessibility of Bumbleandbumble.com has deterred Plaintiff from making an online purchase of a shampoo.

### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

22. The Internet has become a significant source of information, a portal, and a tool for conducting business, doing everyday activities such as shopping, learning, banking, researching, as well as many other activities for sighted, blind and visually-impaired persons alike.

23. The blind access websites by using keyboards in conjunction with screen-reading software which vocalizes visual information on a computer screen. Except for a blind person whose residual vision is still sufficient to use magnification, screen access software provides the only method by which a blind person can independently access the Internet. Unless websites are designed to allow for use in this manner, blind persons are unable to fully access Internet websites and the information, products and services contained therein.
24. For screen-reading software to function, the information on a website must be capable of being rendered into text. If the website content is not capable of being rendered into text, the blind user is unable to access the same content available to sighted users.
25. Blind users of Windows operating system-enabled computers and devices have several screen-reading software programs available to them. Job Access With Speech, otherwise known as “JAWS”, is currently one of the most popular, and downloaded screen-reading software programs.
26. The international website standards organization, the World Wide Web Consortium, known throughout the world as W3C, has published version 2.2 of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (“WCAG 2.2”). WCAG 2.2 are well-established guidelines for making websites accessible to blind and visually-impaired persons. These guidelines are universally followed by most large business entities and government agencies to ensure their websites are accessible. Many Courts have also established WCAG 2.2 as the standard guideline for accessibility. The federal government has also promulgated website accessibility standards under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act. These guidelines are readily available via the Internet, so that a business designing a website can easily access them. These guidelines recommend several basic components for making websites accessible, including but not limited to: adding invisible alt-text

to graphics, ensuring that all functions can be performed using a keyboard and not just a mouse, ensuring that image maps are accessible, and adding headings so that blind persons can easily navigate the site. Without these very basic components, a website will be inaccessible to a blind person using a screen reader. Websites need to be accessible to the “least sophisticated” user of screen-reading software and need to be able to work with all browsers. Websites need to be continually updated and maintained to ensure that they remain fully accessible.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

27. Defendant controls and operates Bumbleandbumble.com in Illinois State and throughout the United States.
28. Bumbleandbumble.com is a commercial website that offers products and services for online sale. The online store allows the user to view hair care products, make purchases, and perform a variety of other functions.
29. Among the features offered by Bumbleandbumble.com are the following:
  - a) Consumers may use the website to connect with Bumble and Bumble, LLC on various social media platforms, including Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, and Pinterest;
  - b) An online store, allowing customers to purchase a wide range of hair care products, including shampoos, conditioners, creams, oils, sprays, primers, pomades, gels, masks and treatments, and other products for delivery to their doorsteps, and;
  - c) Learning about shipping and return policies, reading customer reviews, and learning about the company, amongst other features.
30. This case arises out of Bumble and Bumble’s policy and practice of denying the blind access to the goods and services offered by Bumbleandbumble.com. Due to Bumble and Bumble’s failure

and refusal to remove access barriers to Bumbleandbumble.com, blind individuals have been and are being denied equal access to Bumble and Bumble, as well as to the numerous goods, services and benefits offered to the public through Bumbleandbumble.com.

31. Bumble and Bumble denies the blind access to goods, services and information made available through Bumbleandbumble.com by preventing them from freely navigating Bumbleandbumble.com.
32. Bumbleandbumble.com contains access barriers that prevent free and full use by Plaintiff and blind persons using keyboards and screen-reading software. These barriers are pervasive and include, but are not limited to: inaccurate landmark structure, inaccurate heading hierarchy, hidden elements on the web page, inadequate focus order, ambiguous link texts, changing of content without advance warning, unclear labels for interactive elements, inaccurate alt-text on graphics, inaccurate drop-down menus, the lack of navigation links, the denial of keyboard access for some interactive elements, redundant links where adjacent links go to the same URL address, and the requirement that transactions be performed solely with a mouse.
33. Alternative text (“Alt-text”) is invisible code embedded beneath a graphical image on a website. Web accessibility requires that alt-text be coded with each picture so that a screen-reader can speak the alternative text while sighted users see the picture. Alt-text does not change the visual presentation except that it appears as a text pop-up when the mouse moves over the picture. There are many important pictures on Bumbleandbumble.com that lack a text equivalent. The lack of alt-text on these graphics prevents screen readers from accurately vocalizing a description of the graphics (screen-readers detect and vocalize alt-text to provide a description of the image to a blind computer user). As a result, Plaintiff and blind Bumbleandbumble.com customers are unable to determine what is on the website, browse the website or investigate and/or make purchases.

34. Bumbleandbumble.com also lacks prompting information and accommodations necessary to allow blind shoppers who use screen-readers to locate and accurately fill-out online forms. Due to lack of adequate labeling, Plaintiff and blind customers cannot make purchases or inquiries as to Defendant's merchandise, nor can they enter their personal identification and financial information with confidence and security.
35. When visiting the Website, Plaintiff, using JAWS, encountered the following specific accessibility issues:
  - a) Plaintiff was disoriented when the automatic pop-up window appeared on the web page. Plaintiff, as a legally blind user, had significant difficulty knowing when automatic visual context change had occurred, such as a new window popping up;
  - b) "Skip to content" link was not implemented. Plaintiff was not provided with the mechanism to bypass repeated blocks of content;
  - c) Landmarks were not properly inserted into the home page. Plaintiff tried to navigate the home page using landmarks but could not access its main regions because of landmark inaccurate markup;
  - d) Heading hierarchy was not properly defined, and there were missing heading levels. As a result, quick navigation through headings on the website did not help Plaintiff effectively find the content and understand the logical structure of the home page;
  - e) The Navigation menu did not allow the repeated content to be expanded or collapsed, and when the sub-menu was collapsed, it was still included in the reading order/ and it expanded automatically after receiving focus;

- f) Plaintiff encountered repetitive link texts that were announced separately from their context. These links did not provide helpful information and disoriented Plaintiff;
- g) The Carousel region from the website did not have navigation controls did not comply with necessary accessibility standards. Thus, Plaintiff could not control the moving content on the home page;
- h) Plaintiff tried to follow the links from the website and received no prior warning that the links opened new windows. As a result, Plaintiff unsuccessfully tried to use the “Back” function of the browser to go to the previous page and became disoriented;
- i) Interactive elements from the website could not be focused with the Tab key. The website did not provide helpful instructions on how to access the interactive element using arrow keys. Plaintiff did not know about the interactive element from the page;
- j) Plaintiff encountered hidden elements which was not announced by the screen reader. Plaintiff tabbed through interactive elements but due to the fact that they were not voiced by the assistive technology, Plaintiff was unaware what elements were skipped;
- k) Plaintiff was forced to repeatedly tab through elements with the same destination: the link text of products conveyed similar information and led to the same destinations as interactive images above the links;
- l) Different images of the same product had similar and poorly descriptive alternative text. Plaintiff could not learn more detailed information about the product and its features;
- m) Icon was used as link without appropriate alternative text. Plaintiff was not informed about the purpose of the graphic icon;

n) When opening a dialog, focus order did not move from trigger button to dialog items.

Plaintiff was confused while navigation, encountering information in an inconsistent order with the meaning of the content that could not be operated from the keyboard;

o) In an attempt to add the selected item to cart, a confirmation message appeared on the page but its content was not announced by the screen reader software. Plaintiff was not informed whether the product was successfully added to cart and he could proceed to Checkout;

p) After adding the selected item to the Cart, it did not announce its value information. Thus, Plaintiff did not know whether the product selection was successful and how many items were placed to the Cart.

Consequently, blind customers are essentially prevented from purchasing any items on Bumbleandbumble.com.

36. Bumbleandbumble.com requires the use of a mouse to complete a transaction. Yet, it is a fundamental tenet of web accessibility that for a web page to be accessible to Plaintiff and blind people, it must be possible for the user to interact with the page using only the keyboard. Indeed, Plaintiff and blind users cannot use a mouse because manipulating the mouse is a visual activity of moving the mouse pointer from one visual spot on the page to another. Thus, Bumbleandbumble.com's inaccessible design, which requires the use of a mouse to complete a transaction, denies Plaintiff and blind customers the ability to independently navigate and/or make purchases on Bumbleandbumble.com.

37. Due to Bumbleandbumble.com's inaccessibility, Plaintiff and blind customers must in turn spend time, energy, and/or money to make their purchases at traditional brick-and mortar retailers. Some

blind customers may require a driver to get to the stores or require assistance in navigating the stores. By contrast, if Bumbleandbumble.com was accessible, a blind person could independently investigate products and make purchases via the Internet as sighted individuals can and do. According to WCAG 2.2 Guideline 2.4.1, a mechanism is necessary to bypass blocks of content that are repeated on multiple webpages because requiring users to extensively tab before reaching the main content is an unacceptable barrier to accessing the website. Plaintiff must tab through every navigation bar element on Defendant's website in an attempt to reach the desired service. Thus, Bumble and Bumble has inaccessible design that deprives the Plaintiff and blind customers of the opportunity to make purchases on Bumbleandbumble.com on their own.

38. Bumbleandbumble.com thus contains access barriers which deny the full and equal access to Plaintiff, who would otherwise use Bumbleandbumble.com and who would otherwise be able to fully and equally enjoy the benefits and services of Bumbleandbumble.com in Illinois State and throughout the United States.
39. Plaintiff, ANDRE BATTLE, has made an attempt to complete a purchase on Bumbleandbumble.com. On July 19, 2024, Plaintiff wanted to purchase hair care products and was specifically interested in a new shampoo for men. While searching online for "order shampoo men," he came across the Defendant's website, Bumbleandbumble.com. He tried to explore the company's offerings and intended to buy the Gentle Shampoo. However, he encountered several accessibility issues, including non-focusable interactive elements using the keyboard, ambiguous link texts, unannounced confirmation messages, and states for interactive elements, and other accessibility obstacles. As a result, he was unable to easily navigate the website and finalize the purchase of the product using the screen-reading software. These access barriers have caused Bumbleandbumble.com to be inaccessible to, and not independently usable by, blind and visually-impaired persons.

40. Moreover, Plaintiff will visit the website again immediately upon Defendant correcting the numerous accessibility barriers on it. Plaintiff enjoys the Bumble and Bumble website for their hair care products, offering high-quality solutions for different hair types and needs, and he would like to order products to be shipped directly to his home from Defendant's website. If the Court does not intervene, Plaintiff's injury will continue. Plaintiff still wants to purchase a shampoo on the website.
41. As described above, Plaintiff has actual knowledge of the fact that Defendant's website, Bumbleandbumble.com, contains access barriers causing the website to be inaccessible, and not independently usable by, blind and visually-impaired persons.
42. These barriers to access have denied Plaintiff full and equal access to, and enjoyment of, the goods, benefits and services of Bumbleandbumble.com.
43. Defendant engaged in acts of intentional discrimination, including but not limited to the following policies or practices:
  - (a) constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or
  - (b) constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
  - (c) failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.
44. Defendant utilizes standards, criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating or perpetuating the discrimination of others.

45. Because of Defendant's denial of full and equal access to, and enjoyment of, the goods, benefits and services of Bumbleandbumble.com, Plaintiff and the class have suffered an injury-in-fact which is concrete and particularized and actual and is a direct result of Defendant's conduct.

**CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

46. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks certification of the following nationwide class pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: "all legally blind individuals in the United States who have attempted to access Bumbleandbumble.com and as a result have been denied access to the enjoyment of goods and services offered by Bumbleandbumble.com, during the relevant statutory period."

47. There are common questions of law and fact common to the class, including without limitation, the following:

(a) Whether Bumbleandbumble.com is a "public accommodation" under the ADA;

(b) Whether Defendant, through its website, Bumbleandbumble.com, denies the full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities in violation of the ADA;

48. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class. The Class, similarly to the Plaintiff, are severely visually impaired or otherwise blind, and claim that Defendant has violated the ADA by failing to update or remove access barriers on its Website so either can be independently accessible to the Class.

49. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class because Plaintiff has retained and is represented by counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Class certification of the claims is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)

because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.

50. Alternatively, class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because questions of law and fact common to Class members clearly predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.
51. Judicial economy will be served by maintaining this lawsuit as a class action in that it is likely to avoid the burden that would be otherwise placed upon the judicial system by the filing of numerous similar suits by people with visual disabilities throughout the United States.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. – Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act)*

52. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
53. Title III of the American with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a) provides that “No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.” Title III also prohibits an entity from “[u]tilizing standards or criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability.” 42 U.S.C. § 12181(b)(2)(D)(I).

54. Bumbleandbumble.com is a sales establishment and public accommodation within the definition of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7).
55. Defendant is subject to Title III of the ADA because it owns and operates Bumbleandbumble.com.
56. Under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(I), it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities or a class of individuals with disabilities the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity.
57. Under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(II), it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities or a class of individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals.
58. Specifically, under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(II), unlawful discrimination includes, among other things, “a failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations.”
59. In addition, under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(III), unlawful discrimination also includes, among other things, “a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good,

service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden.”

60. There are readily available, well-established guidelines on the Internet for making websites accessible to the blind and visually-impaired. These guidelines have been followed by other business entities in making their websites accessible, including but not limited to ensuring adequate prompting and accessible alt-text. Incorporating the basic components to make their website accessible would neither fundamentally alter the nature of Defendant’s business nor result in an undue burden to Defendant.
61. The acts alleged herein constitute violations of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Patrons of Bumble and Bumble who are blind have been denied full and equal access to Bumbleandbumble.com, have not been provided services that are provided to other patrons who are not disabled, and/or have been provided services that are inferior to the services provided to non-disabled patrons.
62. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.
63. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of Bumbleandbumble.com in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. and/or its implementing regulations.
64. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass will continue to suffer irreparable harm.

65. The actions of Defendant were and are in violation of the ADA, and therefore Plaintiff invokes his statutory right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.
66. Under 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff, requests relief as set forth below.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**DECLARATORY RELIEF**

67. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
68. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties in that Plaintiff contends, and is informed and believes that Defendant denies, that its website contains access barriers denying blind customers the full and equal access to the products, services and facilities of its website, which Defendant owns, operates and controls, fails to comply with applicable laws including, but not limited to, Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq.”
69. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that each of the parties may know their respective rights and duties and act accordingly.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the class and against the Defendants as follows:

- a) A preliminary and permanent injunction to prohibit Defendant from violating the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., and the laws of Illinois;

- b) A preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Defendant to take all the steps necessary to make its website, Bumbleandbumble.com, into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that Bumbleandbumble.com is readily accessible to and usable by blind individuals;
- c) A declaration that Defendant owns, maintains and/or operates its Website in a manner that discriminates against the blind and which fails to provide access for persons with disabilities as required by Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, and the laws of Illinois;
- d) An order certifying this case as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) & (b)(2) and/or (b)(3), appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and his attorneys as Class Counsel;
- e) Payment of nominal damages;
- f) Pre- and post-judgment interest;
- g) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees;
- h) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Flushing, NY  
December 4, 2024

*/s/ Uri Horowitz*  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

By: Uri Horowitz, Esq.  
14441 70th Road  
Flushing, NY 11367  
Tel: +1 718-705-8706  
Fax: +1 718-705-8705  
Email: Uri@Horowitzlawllc.com